

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/963,341	MATTES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Susan Hanley	1651	

**All Participants:**

(1) Susan Hanley.

(2) Patrick Eagleman.

**Status of Application:** amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 10 June 2005
**Time:** 3:00
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

103

**Claims discussed:**

59-66 and 69-74

**Prior art documents discussed:**


Chan, Lebing

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Mr. Eagleman agreed to an Examiner's amendment that incorporates the addition of a detergent into claim 59. Examiner Hanley agreed to fix the misnumbered claims (there are two claims labelled "74") present in the amendment filed 3/24/05.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)